BC Pediatric Early Warning System (PEWS) for Emergency and Urgent Care Settings

Regional Workshops 2018







- ✓ Understand PEWS and explain reasons for using PEWS in ED
- Describe each of the components of BC PEWS ED
- Practice using the components of BC PEWS ED through case scenarios
- Plan for implementation at your site
- Identify & review supports and resources available to assist in implementing BC PEWS ED

Child Health BC

Child Health BC Network





- Tiers of Service systems planning
- Provincial Asthma Guidelines
- Concussion Awareness Training Tool (CATT)
- Lifetime Prevention Schedule
- Is "Good Good Enough" Child Health Indicators report
- Hip Surveillance for Children with Cerebral Palsy



www.childhealthbc.ca









- Failure to identify & intervene early with clinical deterioration is a source of *substantive unintended harm* including death, disability, prolonged stay &/or readmission
- ~63 to 89% of children do not survive cardiac arrest & morbidity in survivors remains high. Yet children may demonstrate *symptom deterioration up to 24 hours prior to cardiopulmonary arrest*
- To maximize early recognition of clinical deterioration, Pediatric Early Warning Systems (PEWS) have been implemented internationally with a substantial and growing body of *evidence* supporting their use
- PEWS *identifies abnormal physiology quickly* and when tracked across time offers early warning of deterioration. Combined with escalation guidelines, PEWS encourages timely mitigation

Why PEWS?





PEWS has been identified as a high priority by Health Authorities:

- Concerning critical incidents in both the inpatient & emergency department settings related to failure to identify risk/deterioration
- Setting a standard for care & communication based on evidence addresses:
 - **Complexity** in identification of deterioration risk in children (vital signs ranges, compensation)
 - **Diversity** of knowledge, skills & experience levels of providers across the province
 - Issues of high cognitive load in busy ED with intermittent high patient loads, diverse diagnoses, multiple handovers, intense time pressures



Extensive literature exists for the use of PEWS with inpatients; less but growing evidence for ED:

- PEWS scores demonstrated high inter-rater & intra-rater reliability
- PEWS can be integrated in routine patient evaluation & performed rapidly in the busy ED setting (less than 90 seconds)
- PEWS is a **good predictor** of ICU admission (medical illness)
- PEWS cannot replace the triage tool
- There are several reported positive associations with the use of PEWS in the ED including:
 - Assists generalist nurses (low volume pediatrics) to accurately assess children and plan interventions
 - Provides a **baseline** for monitoring deterioration
 - Ensures patients have a **full set of observations** taken, recorded and repeated as clinically necessary

- To guide provincial planning, a oneyear pilot was conducted at Richmond Hospital to research the use of PEWS in a general emergency department.
- ED has approximately 6800 paediatric visits annually (12% of total visits).
- Richmond ED relies on general health providers, who see predominately adults, but also care for children.



Overall results were very positive and included:

- Health providers report high levels of change in knowledge & confidence in caring for pediatric patients.
- Health providers **improved communication** between team members.

At Triage:

• With the use of PEWS at triage, documentation of physiological parameters increased by 75%.



• Canadian Triage and Acuity Scale (CTAS) assignment was more accurate because the pediatric assessment was more thorough.



Rates of "consistent" documentation of physiologic parameters throughout patient stay pre & post PEWS

pre- PEWS (n=96) post PEWS (n=96)





Overall did the introduction of PEWS add value to pediatric patient care in the RH ED? (RN n=28, MD=10)



"System allows a better "snapshot" look of how acutely a child needs intervention and I feel it prompts me to intervene sooner than without a PEWS score"- RN To develop and implement a standardized provincial approach for the identification of children and youth who present at the ED who may be at risk for deterioration.

System will be based on:

- Best available evidence
- Results of the Richmond Hospital Emergency Department pilot, and
- Provincial consensus

Consensus reached on the following:

1.Implement PEWS in ED in a standardized manner across the province

2.Implement at triage & with every full set of vitals

3.Use a standardized escalation aid

4.Use standardized documentation including:

- Pediatric Emergency Nursing Record (ENAR)
- PEWS Vital Sign Record

5.There are no exclusion criteria. PEWS will be completed on every pediatric patient presenting in ED *(except CTAS 1 or patients requiring immediate treatment)*







Components of BC PEWS ED

Child Health BC has been working with Health Authorities to develop a PEWS system that will work across the province for the ED environment

PEWS Score

Standardized pediatric emergency nursing record Tools to promote Situational Awareness

Escalation Aid

Communication Framework (SBAR)



Score can range between 0 and 13. Higher PEWS scores associated with higher risk of clinical deterioration

| Brighton Pediatric Early Warning Score | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|-------|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | SCORE |
| Behaviour | Playing Appropriate | Sleeping | Irritable | Lethargic &/OR Confused &/OR Reduced response to pain | |
| Respiratory | Within normal parameters No recession or tracheal tug | 10 above normal parameters, Using accessory muscles, &/OR 30+% FiO2 or 4+ liters/min | >20 above normal parameters recessing/retractions, tracheal tug &/OR 40+% FiO2 or 6+liters/min | 5 below normal parameters with sternal recession/retractions, tracheal tug or grunting &/OR 50% FiO2 or 8+liters/min | |
| Cardiovascular | Pink/Normal &/OR capillary refill 1-2 seconds | Pale &/OR capillary refill 3 seconds | Grey &/OR capillary refill 4 seconds Tachycardia of 20 above normal rate. | Grey and mottled or capillary refill 5 seconds or above OR Tachycardia of 30 above normal rate or bradycardia | |
| Q 20 minutes bronchodilators &/OR persistent vomiting following surgery (2 points each) TOTAL PEWS SCORE | | | | | |

Monaghan A. Detecting and managing deterioration in children. Paediatr Nurs. 2005; 17:2-5



PEWS score



The PEWS Vital Sign record (inserts for ENAR or trauma record) are divided into 6 age ranges to accommodate for vital signs differences in pediatric patients:

| 0-3 | 4 - 11 | 1 - 3 |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| months | months | years |
| 4 - 6 years | 7 - 11 years | 12+ years |

Scoring Respiratory Category



Supplemental O2

Respiratory Distress

0 - 1 - 2 - 3

0 - 1 - 2 - 3

3

Refer to the CTAS definitions for full description, Key indicators include but are not limited to:

•Mild: No obvious WOB tachypnea, dyspnea

•Moderate: Increased WOB, restlessness/anxiety, tachypnea, retractions, increased expiratory phase

•Severe: Excessive WOB, cyanosis, decreased mental status, tachycardia, bradycardia, upper airway obstruction, absent or decreased breath sounds.



- 1. Identify patient is on HHHF Therapy as Mode of Therapy and document gas flow in L/minute.
- Document actual numerical value of FIO2 being delivered under supplemental O2 concentration delivered.
- 3. As per routine PEWS scoring, score the Respiratory category.
- As per routine PEWS protocol, add the Respiratory, Cardiovascular, Behavioral and other factor categories for the total PEWS score.
- 5. Document situational awareness factors and use clinical judgement with overall assessment.
- 6. Refer to the escalation aid, consider using SBAR, escalate as appropriate, document.

Scoring Cardiovascular Category



| Cardiovascular | Parameter | Scoring Range | |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|---|
| Category | Rate | ** 0-2-3 | 2 |
| | Blood Pressure/MAP | Not scored | (|
| | Capillary Refill Time | 0-1-2-3 | |
| | Skin Colour | 0-1-2-3 | |

*There is NO score of 1 for Heart Rate

1

2

3

Behaviour Category



| OTHER FACTORS | Persistent vomiting following surgery Bronchodilator every 20 minutes Total PEWS Score (R + C + B + vomiting + bronchodilator) | Enter √ if applicable; score 2 poi | nt <mark>s each</mark> |
|------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| | | Persistent vomiting following surgery | 2 |
| PEV | VS Scoring | Bronchodilator every 20 minutes | 2 |
| | Legend | | |
| | | | |
| | 0 | Calculate and record the TOTAL Pediatric Score: | c Early Warning |



Cincinnati Children's found these factors to be 100% sensitive predictors of serious deterioration. Addressing all five on a regular basis helped teams improve predicting & preventing deterioration



Parent/Family/Caregiver:

- This factor is not the same as presenting complaint or frustration over wait times.
- It indicates increasing concern expressed regarding a child's condition, a worsening or changing state.
- Parents offer valuable insight into their child's normal state, pain and comfort.

Watcher Patient:

- A patient you identify as requiring more frequent observation
- Most commonly used factor.
- Can capture risk not captured solely by score e.g. surgical risk, abnormal labs or neurovital signs and mental health concerns.



P.E.W.S 2+

Communication Breakdown:

 a lack of clarity, break or difficulty in communication e.g. in treatment decisions, reporting of results, patient planning, designation of responsibilities, language barriers.

Unusual Therapy:

•unusual Therapy: unfamiliarity with a medication or protocol in the department or by the health care provider (e.g. new and/or low frequency and high risk medication or process). Applying the unusual therapy bring increased awareness to patient care, support and planning.

PEWS score 2 or higher:

 should trigger increased awareness, notification, assessment and resource review.

Situational Awareness

Why use Situational Awareness?

- Identifies additional risks and influences the escalation of:
 - care
 - support
 - increased monitoring
 - observation
- Includes and goes beyond elevated PEWS score

How to promote Situational Awareness in ED?

- Posters throughout ED
- Document risk
- Regular reporting/ debriefing





CHBC Provincial PEWS Escalation Aid-Emergency Departments

save on foods

| | | 0 – 1 | 2 | 3 * For a score of "3" in any one category consider higher escalation | 4 &/or score increases by 2 after interventions | 5 – 13 or score of "3" in one category |
|--------------------------------------|------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| ORE | Notify | | RN reviews patient with the ED senior nurse (e.g. charge nurse, PCC) and identifies if escalation is required. If so notify MRP. | As per PEWS Score 2 | RN notifies most responsible physician (MRP) or physician delegate. Based on rate of deterioration, Emergency Physician (EP) to consider consulting a pediatrician | MRP to assess patient immediately (& pediatrician if available) If MRP unable to attend, RN calls EP for a STAT physician review. Appropriate "senior" review |
| STEM SO | Plan | | | | MRP or delegate communicate a plan of care to mitigate contributing factors of deterioration | As per PEWS Score 4 |
| PEDIATRIC EARLY WARNING SYSTEM SCORE | Assessment | Nurse (RN) continues assessments and monitors. RN documents VS and PEWS score as per unit/Health Authority guideline. | As per PEWS Score 1 | Increase frequency of assessments & documentation as per plan from consultation with more experienced healthcare provider | RN increases frequency of assessments and documentation of VS and PEWS score. | As per PEWS Score 4 |
| | Resources | | | | ED senior nurse will assess the RN to patient ratio and make changes as needed. ED senior nurse assesses care location to ensure the appropriate level of skill mix, equipment, medication and resources available. Senior nurse and MRP or physician delegate considers internal or external transfer to higher level of care. | Senior nurse arranges increased nursing care (1:1) with increasing interventions as per plan. Patient will be moved to an acute care space within the ED. Senior nurse and MRP or physician delegate considers external transfer to higher level of care. |
| SITUATIONAL | AWARENESS | If patient is assessed with one or more of the following situational awareness factors: Parent concern Watcher patient Unusual therapy Breakdown in communication | | | | |

Brighton PEWS Score Escalation Aid (Draft 05/09/17)

Ē

Standardizing Communication (SBAR)

What is SBAR?

SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation) technique provides a framework for communication between members of the health care team about a patient's condition

| | Situation: What is the situation you are calling about? |
|---|--|
| S | I am (name), a nurse on ward (X) I am calling about (patient X) I am calling because I am concerned that (e.g. BP is low/high, pulse is XX, temperature is XX, PEWS score is X) |
| | Background: Pertinent Information & Relevant History |
| B | Patient (X) was admitted on (XX date) with(e.g. respiratory infection) They have had (X procedure/investigation/operation) Patient (X)'s condition has changed in the last (XX mins) Their last set of vital signs were (XXX) |
| _ | Assessment: What do you think the problem is? |
| A | I think the problem is (XXX) and I have(e.g. applied oxygen/given analgesia, stopped the infusion) OR |
| | I am not sure what the problem is but the patient (X) is deteriorating OR I don't know what's wrong but I am really worried |
| | |
| | Recommendation: What do you want to happen? |
| R | I need you to Come to see the child in the next (XX mins) AND Is there anything I need to do in the meantime? (give a normal saline bolus/repeat vitals/start antibiotics) |
| | |
| | Ask receiver to repeat key information to ensure understanding |

ENAR: Primary Assessment

| Left side of page | A | Date: CHILD CONTROL CON | TAS Level Patient label Time:Initial: Airway Interventions: | Right side of page |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Follow the ABCDE primary | | Comments: Breathing: Alr Entry: A Absent N Normal Grunting | C-Spine Discontinued athours By Breathing Interventions: None SpO2 Monitoring Capnography Oxygen by: | Document any interventions taken or add |
| systems assessment | B | ↓ Decreased L R □ Referred Upper Airway W Wheezes □ Audible Wheeze C Crackles Cough: Work of Breathing: □ None Respirations even/unlaboured □ Weak Nasal flaring □ Tracheal tug □ Productive | NPLpm Face maskLpm Non-rebreatherLpm Heated Humidified High Flow TherapyFiO2 BVM at 100% RT called | $\sqrt[4]{V}$ None' box |
| approach | | Head bobbing | PRAM initiated Needle Thoracotomy Chest tube insertion L L R Time:Size: Other: Circulation Interventions:None | |
| | С | Pulses: Central: Normal Weak Bounding Certipheral: Normal Weak Bounding Capillary Refill Time: | Cardiorespiratory Monitor IV Initiated (see IV flowsheet) IO initiated (see IV flowsheet) CPR initiated (see resuscitation record) Comment t QT: PR: QR5: | Use the comment line for quick notes. |
| | D | | Disability Interventions: None Siderails Up | For further documentation |
| | | Pupil Size: Leftmm | Seizure Pads on Siderails Falls Protocol Restraint Protocol Exposure Interventions: None | use nurses notes section. |
| 36 | E | Comments: | U Warm blanket provided | |
ENAR: Secondary Assessment



- a focused • history
- hands on • physical examination
- ongoing ۲ reassessment of physiological status
- response to treatment

| Date: | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------|--------------------|
| CHILD *** | CT | AS Level | Patient label | | |
| HEALTH BC | | | | | |
| | MENT RECORD Location in Departme | nt: | | | |
| Secondary Assessment | | | Time: Initial: | | |
| Cardiovascular: | | | ular Interventions: | None | |
| Heart Sounds: | Chest Pain: | | piratory Monitor | | Continue to |
| S1, S2 clear | D N/A | ECG | hrs | | |
| Heart Rhythm: | Yes Location | | | | al a avera a sa t |
| Regular Irregular | Onsethrs | | | | document |
| Comments: | • | • | | | |
| Gastrointestinal: | | Gastrointestinal interventions: | | | interventions on |
| Bowel Sounds: | | | | | |
| Present Absent | | Size: | | | |
| Abdomen: | Symptoms: | | | | the right hand |
| Galaxia Soft | Nausea | 1 | | | |
| Rigid | Vomiting | 1 | | | side of the name |
| Non-tender on palpation | Hematemesis | 1 | | | side of the page |
| Tender/ 🛛 Flat 🛛 Disten | ded 🛛 Diarrhea 🗳 Constipation | 1 | | | |
| Gastric Tube | LBM | | | | or checking of the |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| Genitourinary: | | | ary Interventions: | None | (nonal hourse |
| Urine: 🗆 N/A | | Catheter t | | | 'none' box as |
| • Pain: | □ LMP | | Other: | | |
| Burning | Sexually Active | Size: | Time: | | annlicable |
| Urgency | Pregnant:weeks | | | | applicable |
| Frequency | Previous Pregnancies | | Mid-stream Catheter | | |
| Hematuria | Discharge Bleeding | Negative | Positive | | |
| Last Void: | Amount Duration | | | | |
| Number of wet diapers in | Penile discharge/pain | Pregnanc | | | |
| last 24 hours Comments: | Scrotal pain | Negative | Positive | | |
| | | A dura sul s al | | | |
| Musculoskeletal: | _ | See Diagr | eletal Interventions: | None | Document in |
| в 🖼 с с | | | Applied to Wounds | | Document |
| 19 | 14 6 20 | | Trauma Record | | 1 |
| ALA K | AA 19 - 7 | · | | | more detail |
| | | # Frac | | | |
| 17:10 17 | m z | | pound Fracture S Swelling | | |
| HI TH HO | R | | ision H Hematoma | | according to |
| 911 1G 01 | 10 | | ration AM Amputatio | 'n | |
| | | B Burr | | | CDNDC site and |
| HH I | | | tusion PI Penetrating | g Injury | CRNBC, site and |
| | | T Trac | 111 | | |
| ЯН | HH Y | E Eder R Rash | | I | hoalth authority |
| Alla (| 00 1 | R Rash | - | None | health authority |
| Psychosocial: Behaviour: | | | Psychosocial Interventions: Certified | u None | a ha wala wala |
| Appropriate / Cooperative | | | Clothing & Belongings Removed | , I | standards |
| Uncooperative Threatening | to Leave Against Medical Advice | | Restraint Protocol Social | | |
| | to ceave Against medical Advice | | | NULLEI | L |
| At risk to self/others: | | | Psychiatry consult | | |
| Suicidal Ideation Homicidal Ideation Plan: | | | Other | | |
| Violence and Aggression: | | | Contract to safety | | |
| | Angry / Irritable Daranoid / Sus | picious | Contract to safety Violence and Aggression ALERT | | |
| Agitated / Impulsive | | | | | |
| Substance Use: | | | Heartsmap Completed Time: | | |
| Substance Intoxication / Withdram | wal | | | | |

ENAR: Medications, Nursing Notes and Signatures





Patient: Baby Boy Sidhu

Age: 14 days

Arrival time: 2300

Arrived: Carried in by mother & father

Presenting Complaint: Cough & Nasal congestion

History leading to present complaint: increasing difficulty with feeding, with decreased feeding in the last 24hours (5 short breast feeds last 24 hours), sleeping long periods, diapers don't appear as wet or heavy as normal

- Apply the Pediatric Assessment Triangle:
 - Appearance: Sleeping in mom's arms
 - Work of Breathing: Child has an increased work of breathing, tachypneic and using accessory muscles to breathe
 - Circulation: Pink peripherally and centrally

looks well, or unwell?



J Emerg Nurs. 2013 Mar; 39(2): 182–189

Additional information

- Patient weight: 4.2 kg (4200 gm)
- Patient temperature: 36.5 Axilla



**Documentation of the patient's weight and temperature is an important step in pediatric assessment and may happen at triage or at admission

- No symptoms suggestive of an infectious process
- On no medications & none given by parents
- No known drug allergies
- Relevant Past Medical History: SVD at 39 weeks, Primipara, discharged at 24 hours, breast feeding, gaining weight
- 5 minute breastfeed 1 hour prior to presentation

Case 1

| Respiratory Category | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Respiratory rate | 65 | | | | |
| Oxygen Saturation % | 95 | | | | |
| Supplemental oxygen delivered | | | | | |
| Mode of Delivery | RA | | | | |
| Respiratory Distress | Mod | | | | |
| PEWS Score for Respiratory | | | | | |
| Cardiovascular Category | | | | | |
| Heart Rate | 170 | | | | |
| Blood Pressure | 70/55 | | | | |
| MAP | 62 | | | | |
| Capillary Refill Time | 2 | | | | |
| Skin Colour | Pink | | | | |
| PEWS Score for Cardiovascular | | | | | |
| Behaviour Category | | | | | |
| Playing/Appropriate | | | | | |
| Sleeping | V | | | | |
| Irritable | | | | | |
| Lethargic/Confused | | | | | |
| Reduced response to pain | | | | | |
| PEWS Score for Behaviour | | | | | |
| Other Factors | | | | | |
| Persistent vomiting following surgery | | | | | |
| Bronchodilator every 20 minutes | | | | | |
| Total PEWS Score (R + C+ B + O) | | | | | |
| | (max 13) | | | | |

1. Select the correct aged PEWS VS record

2. PEWS Scoring

 document the PEWS observations

•calculate the PEWS score

Situational Awareness Factors:

•Using your clinical judgment from the scenario above and PEWS observations and VS, what Situational Awareness factors would you apply?



- Applying clinical knowledge, what information does the VS show?
- Refer to the Escalation Aid used at your site for the recommended actions to consider...Where does Baby Sidhu's score fall in the escalation zone?
- What are the next steps?
- What tool can help frame your conversation with the physician?

Enter the time of escalation if applicable and make any additional documentation in nurses notes.

Time: 23:15

Transfer of Patient into the ED

- The baby and his parents are now transferred to the emergency stretcher area.
- The admitting RN report is received and you now complete the PEWS observations, primary and secondary assessment and document in the paper or electronic health record.

| Respiratory Category | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Respiratory rate | 68 | | | | |
| Oxygen Saturation % | 93 | | | | |
| Supplemental oxygen delivered | 1/2 L | | | | |
| Mode of Delivery | NP | | | | |
| Respiratory Distress | Mod √ | | | | |
| PEWS Score for Respiratory | | | | | |
| Cardiovascular Category | | | | | |
| Heart Rate | 178 | | | | |
| Blood Pressure | 72/58 | | | | |
| МАР | 60 | | | | |
| Capillary Refill Time | 3 | | | | |
| Skin Colour | Pale √ | | | | |
| PEWS Score for Cardiovascular | | | | | |
| Behaviour Category | | | | | |
| Playing/Appropriate | | | | | |
| Sleeping | | | | | |
| Irritable | V | | | | |
| Lethargic/Confused | | | | | |
| Reduced response to pain | | | | | |
| PEWS Score for Behaviour | | | | | |
| Other Factors | | | | | |
| Persistent vomiting following surgery | | | | | |
| Bronchodilator every 20 minutes | | | | | |
| Total PEWS Score (R + C+ B + O) | (max 13) | | | | |

PEWS Scoring

- document the PEWS observations
- calculate the PEWS score

Situational Awareness Factors:

•Using your clinical judgment from the scenario above and PEWS observations and VS, what Situational Awareness Factors would you apply?



- Applying clinical knowledge, what information does the VS trending show?
- Refer to the Escalation Aid used at your site for the recommended actions to consider...Where does Baby Sidhu's score fall in the escalation zone?
- Are any further actions required by RN at this point?

Primary Assessment:

- Patient Temperature: 36.8 Celsius Axilla
- **Airway**: maintainable, large amount of nasal secretions
 - nares suctioned for large amounts of secretions
- Breathing:
 - Equal air entry to right and left bases
 - Intercostal in-drawing and nasal flaring noted
 - Chest movements are symmetrical
 - Weak, non-productive cough noted
 - SPO2 monitoring applied
 - ½ L of Oxygen applied by nasal prongs

Additional Information:

• Circulation:

- Pulses normal (central and peripheral)
- Cap refill 3 seconds
- Colour is pale
- Skin temperature is warm
- Fontanelles depressed
 - Cardiorespiratory monitor applied
- Disability:
 - Blood glucose: 3.7
 - Alert and irritable, responding to voice and pain
 - Pupils: equal at 3 mm and brisk
- **Exposure:** Clothing removed for assessment
 - Warm blanket provided

Time: 23:30

Location: Stretcher in ED

Seen by: Physician

Orders: Routine labs, VS q30 mins, full Cardio-Respiratory monitoring, maintain oxygen saturations above 94%, continue to gently suction nares PRN, start IV.

Nursing Actions: RN elevates HOB, uses nasal aspirator and repeats gentle suctioning of nares for small amount of clear secretions. IV started. Baby is settled into crib. Family reassured.

You've now completed your secondary assessment....

| Respiratory Category | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Respiratory rate | 58 | | | | |
| Oxygen Saturation % | 96 | | | | |
| Supplemental oxygen delivered | 1/2 L | | | | |
| Mode of Delivery | NP | | | | |
| Respiratory Distress | Mod √ | | | | |
| PEWS Score for Respiratory | | | | | |
| Cardiovascular Category | | | | | |
| Heart Rate | 160 | | | | |
| Blood Pressure | | | | | |
| МАР | | | | | |
| Capillary Refill Time | 3 | | | | |
| Skin Colour | Pale √ | | | | |
| PEWS Score for Cardiovascular | | | | | |
| Behaviour Category | | | | | |
| Playing/Appropriate | | | | | |
| Sleeping | √ | | | | |
| Irritable | | | | | |
| Lethargic/Confused | | | | | |
| Reduced response to pain | | | | | |
| PEWS Score for Behaviour | | | | | |
| Other Factors | | | | | |
| Persistent vomiting following surgery | | | | | |
| Bronchodilator every 20 minutes | | | | | |
| Total PEWS Score (R + C+ B + O) | (max 13) | | | | |

Time: 23:35

PEWS Scoring

- document the PEWS observations
- calculate the PEWS score

Case 1

Situational Awareness Factors:

•Using your clinical judgment from the scenario above and PEWS observations and VS, what Situational Awareness factors would you apply?



Refer to the Escalation Aid used at your site for the recommended actions to consider...Where does Baby Sidhu's score fall in the escalation zone?

- Apply your clinical assessment skills and clinical judgement
- Refer to the corresponding score in the escalation aid for considerations and recommendations in managing the patients care.

Enter the time of escalation if applicable and make any additional documentation in nurses notes.

Learning points from Case I

 Neonates are at increased risk of deterioration due to age and can deteriorate rapidly

Case 1

- Visual trending of HR and RR aids in recognizing deterioration along with colour and any response to treatment
- Capillary refill time is a good indicator of perfusion and cardiac output.
- Skin colour in the cardiac section is specifically assessing for perfusion: *Inspect the oral mucous membranes for cyanosis that may not be readily apparent on the skin. Examine underneath the tongue, inside the cheeks, and the nail beds for signs of peripheral cyanosis.*
- Consider the ED environment: extra stimulation and handling can cause an increase in some vital signs



- It is a complete system-not just a score
- Apply first at triage & then with all subsequent assessments (frequency will vary with patient condition, orders and site protocols)
- Use for all patients regardless of acuity/ CTAS (do not delay treatment to do a score if treatment is required)
- It is a support for clinical decision making

PEWS is <u>not</u> a substitute for clinical judgement.

If a PEWS score or escalation action does not make sense in context, document clinical reasoning & repeat...

5 Site Implementation Planning

Education Support Tools

Available on the child health BC website: www.childhealthbc.ca

- Situational Awareness Poster
- •2 page Overview of PEWS
- Frequently Asked Questions
- •SBAR tool
- •PEWS Lanyard Card
- Pediatric Vital Sign Lanyard Card
- •PEWS Nursing PowerPoint
- Provincial PEWS Education Lesson Plan
- PEWS Education Session Evaluation
- Physician Orientation Video
- Leadership PowerPoint
- •Case Studies
- •Quality Improvement Tools
- •Edu-quicks



Using the questions provided discuss with your team how best to address these points at your site



- Track staff completion of online modules
- Book your in-person site training to ensure you capture all staff who will be using the system
- Continue to finalize the implementation plan for your agency
- Access and review PEWS resources
- Develop a plan for ongoing quality audits

